Recent advancements in approximate coloured compacted de Bruijn graph representations

Yoshihiro Shibuya, Pierre Peterlongo, Giulio Ermanno Pibiri – SeqBIM 2023, Lille, France

Work-in-progress

Overview

- Introduction
 - Colored compacted de Bruijn graphs (ccdBGs)
 - Exact representations
 - Approximate representations
- Main question
- Idea
- Future directions

Colored (compacted) de-Bruijn graphs



- where:
 - Nodes are all the distinct k-mers of \mathscr{R} lacksquare
 - ullet
- Non-branching paths can be compacted into contiguous strings called "unitigs" (cdBG) ullet

• Given a set of references $\mathscr{R} = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n\}$, the de Bruijn graph (dBG) of \mathscr{R} is a directed graph

There is an edge between node u and v iff the (k-1)-length suffix of u is equal to the (k-1) prefix of v

• Annotating each k-mer with the set of references it appears in, and by compacting only the nonbranching paths with the same colours, we obtain the coloured compacted de Bruijn Graph (ccdBG)



Applications

- Pangenomics
- Metagenomic classification
- Abundance estimation
- Long-term storage

NOTE:

In this talk we will focus on static data structures (no updates), that support queries (no long-term storage)

Exact ccdBG representations

- Given a k-mer K,
 - if $K \in \mathscr{R}$ then return its color id
 - Otherwise return "null"
- Most solutions are divided into 3 parts:
 - 1. K-mer storage
 - 2. Colours storage
 - 3. Mapping

Fulgor (1/2)

- Exact ccdBG representation
 - k-mers are stored by using SSHash
 - property)
 - Colors are lists of reference ids
 - Mapping k-mers to their colors is done by a simple bit-vector

Unitigs are explicitly stored in the given input order (order-preserving)

Fulgor (2/2)

- Bit-vector B (the mapping) has negligible space in practice
- Almost all space is for storing unitigs and colors



Approximate cddBG representations

Two types of approximations:

- 1. Error-prone
- 2. Membership-oblivious

Error-prone

- False positives/negatives
 - A k-mer can appear to be present/absent even if it's not
- Query errors
 - Some k-mers can be assigned the wrong color id
 - The actual inverted list pointed to the color id can be "almost" correct
- Usually implemented with Bloom Filters or similar approximate data structures

Membership-oblivious

- Given a k-mer K,
 - if $K \in \mathscr{R}$ then return its color id
 - Otherwise return a random answer
- Usually implemented with Minimal Perfect Hash Functions (MPHFs)
 - Locality-preserving MPHFs have been recently proposed

Universal





Perfect

Minimal Perfect

LPHash

- looking at the minimizer position

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | |
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |

Assigns consecutive hash values to consecutive k-mers in the same super-k-mer by

• For suitable values of k and m, space < 1.44 (theoretical lower-bound of g.p. MPHFs)



Main question

Can we achieve further space reduction in ccdBG representations if not interested in membership queries?

- Neighboring k-mers tend to have the same color
 - High compression if locality is properly exploited
- No need to save the actual unitigs





Replace with LPHash

- Same thing as Fulgor but with LPHash instead of SSHash
- RLE array maps hash values to color ids
 - Values are stored in log₂(cid_{max})bits
 - Lengths are compressed with Elias-Fano
- The color data structure is the same



Datasets

- [Salmonella] 4546 Salmonella enterica genomes
 - Genomes are similar to each other
 - Basically a pangenome

- [HumGut] 30691 (human) gut bacteria genomes
 - More heterogeneous dataset
 - Bloom-filter-based approaches work well

Results

| Dataset | k | Μ | Fulgor size [GB] | Kaminari size [GB] | | |
|----------------|----|----|------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Salmonella | 31 | 20 | 0.266 | 0.261 | | |
| HumGut (whole) | 31 | 17 | 34 | Error | | |
| HumGut (r = 6) | 31 | 17 | 6.2 | 11 | | |
| HumGut (r = 6) | 63 | 31 | Max k=32 | 7.3 | | |
| HumGut(r = 60) | 31 | 17 | 0.764 | 0.837 | | |



Why?

- Currently, LPHash cannot deal with appear in multiple super-k-mers)
 - On HumGut (whole) for example, ~40% of minimizers are ambiguous
- On simpler cases (e.g. Salmonella), Fulgor is still better than Kaminari
 - LPHash while being locality-preserving still breaks colors into small blocks which are hashed at different offsets
 - SSHash's order-preserving property is on the entire input set
 - The RLE mapping in Kaminari takes most of the space (Fulgor's map is negligible in size)

Currently, LPHash cannot deal with ambiguous minimizers (minimizers which

Future directions

- Improve LPHash
 - classic fallback MPHF)
- Better compress the mapping k-mers—colors?
- Others?

• Deal with ambiguous minimizers in a smarter way (other than building a

Open question

| Genomes | | Mac-dBG | | | Fulgor | | | Themisto | | | MetaGraph | | | COBS |
|---------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|
| | | dBG | Colors | Total | dBG | Colors | Total | dBG | Colors | Total | dBG | Colors | Total | Total |
| EC | $3,\!682$ | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.81 | 0.29 | 1.36 | 1.65 | 0.22 | 1.85 | 2.08 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 7.53 |
| SE | 5,000 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.59 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 1.29 | 1.43 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 9.11 |
| | 10,000 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.68 | 0.35 | 1.66 | 2.01 | 0.32 | 3.50 | 3.81 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 18.68 |
| | 50,000 | 1.26 | 2.14 | 3.40 | 1.26 | 17.03 | 18.30 | 1.07 | 32.42 | 33.48 | 0.36 | 1.95 | 2.31 | 88.61 |
| | 100,000 | 1.72 | 3.83 | 5.55 | 1.72 | 40.70 | 42.44 | 1.35 | 75.94 | 77.28 | 0.45 | 3.50 | 3.95 | 173.58 |
| | 150,000 | 2.03 | 5.37 | 7.40 | 2.03 | 68.60 | 70.66 | 1.58 | 125.16 | 126.74 | | | | 265.49 |
| GB | 30,691 | 21.31 | 7.85 | 29.16 | 21.31 | 15.45 | 36.85 | 18.33 | 30.88 | 49.21 | 5.23 | 4.77 | 10.00 | 21.23 |

and the other Bloom filter based algorithms ?

Taken from: Meta-colored compacted de Bruijn Graphs, G.E. Pibiri, J. Fan, R. Patro, 2023, bioRxiv

Are exact representations closing the gap to their approximate counterparts?

• Can a membership-oblivious data structure (no false positives) beat COBS



